



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6371
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Existence and Nonexistence of Positive Solutions for Singular Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Gradient Terms
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper focuses on a quasilinear elliptic problem with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on a bounded domain. The interest for the considered problem consists in the presence of a nonlinearity depending on the gradient of the solution and of a possibly singular term. The manuscript contains an nonexistence result stated as Theorem 1 and a result ensuring the existence of a positive solution, which is formulated as Theorem 2. The approach is based on the method of subsolution and supersolution as well as on the search of radial solution in an open ball. The paper contains some good ideas, which seem to represent original contributions. Unfortunately, the text is written superficially and, in fact, badly (not only because English is not properly used). For instance, the statement of hypothesis (g₂) on page 3 is totally unclear. This lack of precision can be seen throughout the manuscript, which makes the reading almost impossible. The referee requests a complete	My paper have been modified arccording to Review. Please see the correct the manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	rewriting of the paper paying careful attention to achieve a clear and meaningful text. In case where the author is able to do this, the revised version can be submitted for re-review. A complete revision should be done for removing the obscurities and deficiencies in the present text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		